This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/808,404	03/14/2001	Kenneth S. Araujo	Netilla-7/APP	3677
7265	7590 07/13/2004		EXAMINER	
MICHAELSO	ON AND WALLACE		MAURO JR,	THOMAS J
	09 OFFICE CENTER N SPRINGS RD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 8489)		2143	
				PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	4 100	Application No.	Applicant(s)	The Charles			
Office Action Summary		09/808,404	ARAUJO ET AL.	0 0			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thomas J. Mauro Jr.	2143				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communications: (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/22/	01 (Preliminary Amendment).					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	on of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 22 June 2001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	s have been received					
	 Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document 		ion No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
	application from the International Bureau		.				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
Attachmer	nt(e)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	C.C, pp.100.1011 (1 10 102)				
	rademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are pending and are presented for examination. A formal action on the merits of claims 1-20 follows.

Specification

2. The use of the trademark MICROSOFT WORD and MICROSOFT EXCEL have been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang et al. (U.S. 6,571,245) in view of Wei (U.S. 6,654,784).

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 1, Huang teaches an apparatus for providing a web-accessible virtual processing environment to a network-connected office server for a remotely connected user computer through which a user stationed at the computer can execute any of a plurality of server-based applications resident at the office server [Huang -- Abstract], comprising:

a platform, capable of being situated in network communication between the user computer and the office server [Huang -- Figure 2 and Col. 4 lines 18-46 - Site server, which provides link for computers to connect to backend, i.e. office servers, sits between the computers and office LAN], having:

a processor [Huang -- Figure 15 and Col. 18 lines 62-67 - Col. 19 lines 1-22 - Server computers contains a processor];

a memory connected to the processor and for storing computer executable instructions therein [Huang -- Figure 15 and Col. 18 lines 62-67 - Col. 19 lines 1-22 - Server computers contains a memory, i.e. fixed disk, which contains source code for operating system];

first and second network interfaces, operable in conjunction with the processor, for interfacing the platform, through the first network interface, to a wide area network (WAN) connection through which the remote user computer obtains connectivity to the platform [Huang -- Figure 2 and Col. 4 lines 26-40 - Site server is connected to the Internet, i.e. WAN, through a firewall, which allows remote computers to connect to platform, i.e. site server], and, through the second network interface, to a local area network (LAN) having a server computer electrically communicative there over, respectively, with the server computer forming the office server [Huang -- Figure 2 and Col. 1 lines 40-47, Col. 4 lines 47-67 and Col. 5 lines

Art Unit: 2143

35-54 – Site server is also connected to a regional (office) network's LAN which contains other back-end servers, applications servers, mail servers, etc.]; and

wherein, in response to the executable instructions [Huang -- Col. 2 lines 66-67 - Col. 3 lines 1-4, Col. 7 lines 33-36 and Col. 16 lines 12-33 - User submits commands, i.e. clicks on an icon, through browser to cause processing of applications], the processor, for each one of the server-based applications carries out instructions.

Huang fails to explicitly teach bi-directional protocol conversion of messages between remote client computer and server, such that data provided by browser in a first protocol is converted into a second protocol to be then sent and executed by an application server and converting output data from an application server back to the first protocol for transmission to the client browser.

Wei, however, discloses these limitations substantially as claimed, namely, converting messages intended for applications sent by a client browser using the HTTP protocol into remote desktop protocol (RDP) in order to communicate with the application server and execute command issued by the user. In addition, responses from application are converted by the application server from RDP to HTTP for transmission back to the client computer via the browser [Wei - Figure 3, Col. 5 lines 49-53, Col. 6 lines 38-67 – Col. 7 lines 1-35].

Both Huang and Wei are concerned with executing applications through use of a web browser on a remote server using the HTTP protocol.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the converting of messages sent by a client browser from one protocol into a second protocol used by the specific application server and further converting back any

Art Unit: 2143

responses sent by the application server from the second protocol to the first protocol for communication with the client browser, as taught by Wei into the invention of Huang, in order to provide a good performing system for running web-based application and to allow two computers using different protocols to be able to communicate and successfully execute commands remotely.

Regarding claim 2, Huang-Wei teaches the invention substantially as claimed, as aforementioned in claim 1 above, including wherein the processor, in response to execution of the stored instructions:

for messages emanating from the user computer and appearing on the WAN connection:

receives, from the browser, a first message containing the user interaction data associated with a specific one server-based application and in the first protocol [Wei -- Figure 3 and Col. 7 lines 9-17 – User click information, i.e. user commands, are transmitted to application server in a first protocol, i.e. HTTP or HTTPS];

converts the user interaction data in the first protocol to the second protocol associated with the specific one server-based application to yield a second message [Wei -- Figure 3, Col. 6 lines 58-67 and Col. 7 lines 18-22 – Application server will translate the client request from a first protocol, i.e. HTTP or HTTPS, into a second protocol that backend server can use, i.e. RDP]; and

applies the second message, as input, to the server computer for processing by the specific one server-based application [Wei -- Figure 3 and Col. 7 lines 23-26 – Backend server receives the information for processing];

Art Unit: 2143

and for messages emanating from the server computer and appearing on the LAN:

receives, from the server computer and over the LAN connection, a third message
containing output data generated by the specific one server-based application and;

converts the output data message in the second protocol to the first protocol to yield a fourth message [Wei -- Figure 3, Col. 6 lines 58-67 and Col. 7 lines 27-30 - Response from backend server is received using second protocol and is converted back to a first protocol for transmission back to the client computer]; and

applies the fourth message to the WAN connection for transmission to the browser in order to render the output data thereat [Wei -- Figure 3 and Col. 7 lines 31-34 - Message is sent back out to client browser].

Regarding claim 3, Huang-Wei teach the invention substantially as claimed, as aforementioned in claim 2 above, including wherein the server computer comprises a corresponding server for each of the server-based applications and is implemented either coincident with the platform or as at least one physical computer separate from the platform and connected, via the LAN, to it [Huang -- Figure 2 and Col. 4 lines 31-63 - Site server computer which provides access to backend servers is separate from backend servers and specific application servers, i.e. e-mail server, database server, application server, etc.].

Regarding claim 11, Huang teaches a method for use, in apparatus, which provides for providing a web-accessible virtual processing environment to a network-connected office server for a remotely connected user computer through which a user stationed at the computer can

Art Unit: 2143

execute any of a plurality of server-based applications resident at the office server [Huang --**Abstract**], the apparatus comprising a platform, capable of being situated in network communication between the user computer and the office server [Huang -- Figure 2 and Col. 4 lines 18-46 – Site server, which provides link for computers to connect to backend, i.e. office servers, sits between the computers and office LAN], having: a processor [Huang --Figure 15 and Col. 18 lines 62-67 – Col. 19 lines 1-22 – Server computers contains a **processor**], a memory connected to the processor and for storing computer executable instructions therein [Huang -- Figure 15 and Col. 18 lines 62-67 - Col. 19 lines 1-22 - Server computers contains a memory, i.e. fixed disk, which contains source code for operating system]; first and second network interfaces, operable in conjunction with the processor, for interfacing the platform, through the first network interface, to a wide area network (WAN) connection through which the remote user computer obtains connectivity to the platform [Huang -- Figure 2 and Col. 4 lines 26-40 - Site server is connected to the Internet, i.e. WAN, through a firewall, which allows remote computers to connect to platform, i.e. site server], and, through the second network interface, to a local area network (LAN) having a server computer electrically communicative there over, respectively, with the server computer forming the office server [Huang -- Figure 2 and Col. 1 lines 40-47, Col. 4 lines 47-67 and Col. 5 lines 35-54 - Site server is also connected to a regional (office) network's LAN which contains other back-end servers, applications servers, mail servers, etc.]; wherein, the method comprises the steps, performed by the processor, for each one of the server-based applications. Huang fails to explicitly teach bi-directional protocol conversion of messages between remote client computer and server, such that data provided by browser in a first protocol is converted

Art Unit: 2143

into a second protocol to be then sent and executed by an application server and converting output data from an application server back to the first protocol for transmission to the client browser.

Wei, however, discloses these limitations substantially as claimed, namely, converting messages intended for applications sent by a client browser using the HTTP protocol into remote desktop protocol (RDP) in order to communicate with the application server and execute command issued by the user. In addition, responses from application are converted by the application server from RDP to HTTP for transmission back to the client computer via the browser [Wei - Figure 3, Col. 5 lines 49-53, Col. 6 lines 38-67 – Col. 7 lines 1-35].

Both Huang and Wei are concerned with executing applications through use of a web browser on a remote server using the HTTP protocol.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the converting of messages sent by a client browser from one protocol into a second protocol used by the specific application server and further converting back any responses sent by the application server from the second protocol to the first protocol for communication with the client browser, as taught by Wei into the invention of Huang, in order to provide a good performing system for running web-based application and to allow two computers using different protocols to be able to communicate and successfully execute commands remotely.

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claims 12 and 13, these are method claims corresponding to the apparatus claimed in claims 2 and 3 above. They have similar limitations; therefore, claims 12 and 13 are rejected under the same rationale.

5. Claims 4-10 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang et al. (U.S. 6,571,245) and Wei (U.S. 6,654,784), as applied to claims 3 and 13 above respectively, in view of Zhu et al. (U.S. 6,691,154).

Regarding claim 4, Huang-Wei teach the invention substantially as claimed, as aforementioned in claim 3 above, the application module further comprising:

a user interaction component communicative, through the WAN connection, with the browser, for accepting the user interaction data from the browser in the first protocol and for providing said output data to the browser in the first protocol [Wei -- Figure 3, Col. 6 lines 38-67 and Col. 7 lines 10-17 and lines 27-33 – Application server accepts browser commands, i.e. click information, in a first protocol, i.e. HTTP. After backend server generates and transfers response to application server, output data, i.e. response is translated back to first protocol for transmission back to the client browser];

interpreting each command issued by the user interaction component so as to provide the user interaction data to the specific one server-based application executing on the server computer, and communicative through a client protocol component, for sending user interaction data to the server-based application and for receiving said output information from the specific

Art Unit: 2143

one server-based application [Wei -- Figure 3, Col. 6 lines 38-67 and Col. 7 lines 18-26 – After receiving commands/information from client browser, application server converts the information to a second protocol understandable by the backend server for processing and later receives response from backend server, i.e. output]; and

a client protocol component, operative in conjunction with the state machine, for converting the user interaction data received from the state machine into the second protocol and applying resultant messages in the second protocol to the specific one server-based application, and for receiving said output data in the second protocol from the specific one server-based application [Wei -- Figure 3, Col. 6 lines 58-67 and Col. 7 lines 18-22 and lines 27-30 -- Application server will translate the client request from a first protocol, i.e. HTTP or HTTPS, into a second protocol that backend server can use, i.e. RDP. Responses received from backend servers are received using second protocol and are converted back to a first protocol for transmission back to the client computer].

Huang-Wei fail to explicitly teach the use of a state machine, i.e. hardware, for carrying out such steps as outlined above.

Zhu, however, discloses a system and method for exchanging data in a desktop sharing mode which teaches that a state machine, i.e. hardware, can be used to implement the processes necessary for remotely sharing and transferring desktop data [Zhu -- Figures 1 and 4b, Col. 5 lines 22-41 and Col. 8 lines 6-13].

Zhu, along with Huang and Wei are all concerned with remotely accessing a server or another computer over a network, i.e. the Internet.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Art Unit: 2143

was made to incorporate the use of a state machine for facilitating the exchanging of information remotely between a client and a server, as taught by Zhu into the invention of Huang-Wei, in order to provide a more stable, reliable and faster executing environment for remote transactions to occur.

Regarding claim 5, Huang-Wei-Zhu teach the invention substantially as claimed, as aforementioned in claim 4 above, wherein the server-based applications comprise thin-client application hosting, e-mail and shared file access [Huang -- Figures 2, 3 and Col. 4 lines 47-65 and Col. 9 lines 22-34 – Server applications include e-mail, applications, i.e. (MICROSOFT WORD, MICROSOFT EXCEL), and file servers for shared file access]; and the first protocol comprises HTTP [Huang -- Col. 15 lines 13-16 System supports HTTP protocol transmission from browser to site server], secure HTTP, or a protocol with AIP-like functionality and the second protocol comprises RDP (remote desktop protocol), IMAP (Internet mail access protocol) or SMB (server message block) [Wei -- Figure 3 and Col. 5 lines 49-53 and Col. 10 lines 41-44 – RDP].

Regarding claim 6, Huang-Wei-Zhu teach the invention substantially as claimed, as aforementioned in claim 5 above, including, wherein the user interaction data comprises a designation of a uniform resource locator (URL), uniform resource identifier (URI), form input, keystrokes or mouse clicks that returns associated information desired by the user, and output data comprises graphical display data [Huang -- Figure 3, Col. 6 lines 8-67 - Col. 7 lines 1-10 and Col. 19 lines 1-9 - Client enters URL into browser to navigate to site server in order to

Art Unit: 2143

access virtual desktop. Site can be accessed by typing in URL or by clicking on a bookmark and output data is displayed graphically in browser window].

Regarding claim 7, Huang-Wei-Zhu teach the invention substantially as claimed, as aforementioned in claim 6 above, including wherein said output data comprises bitmap graphic output display data generated by the specific one server-based application [Huang -- Col. 6 lines 40-67 - Col. 7 lines 1-11, Col. 10 lines 61-67 - Col. 11 lines 1-5 and Col. 17 lines 60-67 - Col. 18 lines 1-4 - Applications generate output files which are then converted into HTML or JPEG format and displayed in browser].

While Huang-Wei-Zhu do not explicitly teach bitmap graphics, bitmap graphics were well-known in the art at the time of the applicant's invention and could have been used instead of JPEG graphics in order to provide smaller files sizes and faster loading of images. Thus, this would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claim 8, Huang-Wei-Zhu teach the invention substantially as claimed, as aforementioned in claim 7 above, including wherein the WAN connection comprises either a private network connection or an Internet connection [Huang -- Figure 2 and Col. 4 lines 18-30 - Client is connected to site server and backend servers over the Internet].

Regarding claim 9, Huang-Wei-Zhu teach the invention substantially as claimed, as aforementioned in claim 8 above, including wherein the second network interface comprises an Ethernet interface [Zhu -- Col. 7 lines 36-41 – Ethernet card implies an Ethernet interface],

Art Unit: 2143

and the first network interface comprises a broadband network interface [Huang -- Col. 3 lines 58-65 - Client computer connects to Internet through ISDN or T1 interface which is considered a broadband interface].

Regarding claim 10, Huang-Wei-Zhu teach the invention substantially as claimed, as aforementioned in claim 9 above, including wherein the broadband network interface comprises a digital subscriber line (DSL) interface, a cable modem, an integrated services digital network (ISDN) interface, a T1 interface or a fractional T1 interface [Huang -- Col. 3 lines 58-65 - Client computer connects to Internet through ISDN or T1 interface].

Regarding claims 14-20, these are method claims corresponding to the apparatus claimed in claims 4-10 above. They have similar limitations; therefore, claims 14-20 are rejected under the same rationale.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Auvenshine (U.S. 6,725,238) discloses a method and system for accessing a shared file system remotely over the Internet.
- Mendez et al. (U.S. 6,023,708) discloses a system to access and synchronize information across different formats between multiple sites securely.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J. Mauro Jr. whose telephone number is 703-605-1234. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00a.m. - 4:30p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on 703-308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/808,404 Page 15

Art Unit: 2143

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TJM

July 1, 2004

DAVIDWILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100